

# Discrete Mathematics

Yi Li

Software School  
Fudan University

June 12, 2012

# Review

- Tableau Proof
- Complete Systematic Tableaux

# Outline

- Soundness
- Completeness
- Compactness

# Tableau Proof

## Example

Consider a sentence

$(\exists y)(\neg R(y, y) \vee P(y, y)) \vee (\forall x)R(x, x)$ . There is a model  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Lemma

*If  $\tau = \cup \tau_n$  is a tableau from a set of sentences  $S$  with root  $F\alpha$ , then any  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$  that is a model of  $S \cup \{\neg\alpha\}$  can be extended to one agreeing with every entry on some path  $P$  through  $\tau$ . (Recall that  $\mathcal{A}$  agrees with  $T\alpha(F\alpha)$  if  $\alpha$  is true(false) in  $\mathcal{A}$ .)*

## Theorem (Soundness)

*If there is a tableau proof  $\tau$  of  $\alpha$  from  $S$ , then  $S \models \alpha$ .*

## Theorem

*Suppose  $P$  is a noncontradictory path through a complete systematic tableau  $\tau$  from  $S$  with root  $F\alpha$ . There is then a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  in which  $\alpha$  is false and every sentence in  $S$  is true.*

# Completeness(Cont.)

## Lemma

*Let the notation be as above*

- 1 *If  $F\beta$  occurs on  $P$ , then  $\beta$  is false in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
- 2 *If  $T\beta$  occurs on  $P$ , then  $\beta$  is true in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

# Property of CST

## Proposition

*If every path of a complete systematic tableau is contradictory, then it is a finite tableau.*



# Property of CST

## Corollary

*For every sentence  $\alpha$  and set of sentences  $S$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , either*

- 1 the CST from  $S$  with root  $F\alpha$  is a tableau proof of  $\alpha$  from  $S$ .*

*or*

- 2 there is a noncontradictory branch through the complete systematic tableau that yields a structure in that  $\alpha$  is false and every element of  $S$  is true.*

# Completeness and Soundness

## Theorem (Completeness and Soundness)

- 1  $\alpha$  is a tableau provable from  $S \Leftrightarrow \alpha$  is a logical consequence of  $S$ .
- 2 If we take  $\alpha$  to be any contradiction such as  $\beta \wedge \neg\beta$  in 1, we see that  $S$  is inconsistent if and only if  $S$  is unsatisfiable.

# Size of model

## Definition

The *size* of a model is the cardinality of the universe  $A$  in the structure  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n\}, \{P = \{ \langle c_0, c_0 \rangle \}, R = \{ \langle c_0, c_0 \rangle, \langle c_1, c_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle c_n, c_n \rangle \} \rangle$ . It is easy to check it is a model of

$$\alpha = (\exists y)(\neg R(y, y) \vee P(y, y)) \vee (\forall x)R(x, x)$$

# Size of model

## Example

Suppose we have a language

$\mathcal{L} = \langle \{P, \}, \{f(x, y)\}, \{c, d\} \rangle$ . Given two sentences  
 $(\forall x)P(c, x)$  and  $(\forall x)(P(x, c) \rightarrow P(x, d))$ .

We know that the structure

$\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{N}, \{P = \leq\}, \{f(x, y)\}, \{c = 0, d = 2\} \rangle$  is a  
infinite model of them.

# Size of model

## Theorem (Löwenheim-Skolem)

*If a countable set of sentences  $S$  is satisfiable, then it has a countable model.*

## Proof.

Consider the tableau proof with the root  $F\alpha \wedge \neg\alpha$ . □

# Compactness

## Theorem

*Let  $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$  be a set of sentences of predicate logic.  $S$  is satisfiable if and only if every finite subset of  $S$  is satisfiable.*

## Proof.

Consider the tableau proof with the root  $F(\alpha \wedge \neg\alpha)$ .  
The tree should not be finite □

# Compactness

## Theorem

*Let  $L$  be a first-order language. Any set  $S$  of sentences of  $L$  that is satisfiable in arbitrarily large finite models is satisfiable in some infinite model.*

## Sketch Idea:

Suppose  $S$  is satisfiable in arbitrary large finite models. Let  $R$  be a 2-ary relation symbol that is not part of the language  $L$ , and enlarge  $L$  to  $L'$  by adding  $R$ .

We can modify the interpretation of  $R$  without affecting the truth values of members of  $S$ , since  $R$  does not occur in members of  $S$ . So we can write a sentence  $A_n$  that asserts there are at least  $n$  things.